a) the Singapore Examination Report dated October 30, 2007.

This Information Disclosure Statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, that additional information material to the examination of this application does not exist.

## STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e)

The undersigned states that each item of information contained in this disclosure statement was from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.

Please charge the petition fee for filing this Information Disclosure Statement in the amount of \$180.00 to Deposit Account No. 13-3848. This paper is also authorization to charge any insufficiency of fees due with this filing to Deposit Account No. 13-3848. Triplicate copies of this paper are submitted.

Respectfully submitted,

John E. Mrozinski, Jr.

Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 46,179

Bayer MaterialScience LLC 100 Bayer Road Pittsburgh, PA 15205-9741 412) 777-3024 FACSIMILE PHONE NUMBER: (412) 777-3902 s:\bsh\jem1022ids

	Application No.
DANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	200501617-5
SINGAPORE EXAMINATION REPORT	
)	

Date of mailing:	DKPTO SE NO.		
3 0 OKT. 2007	SE 2007 03628F		
Applicant's or agents file refe	erence	Application filing date	Priority date
CG/HYYY/MARI/PAT/8120	0134/SG	17/03/2005	19/03/2004
nternational Patent Class ndication in the search rot. Cl.	sification (IPC) as indicate eport	ed in the search report	or the Request, if no
C08G 65/26, B01J 27/26			
Applicant:			
BAYER MATERIALSCIEN	CE LLC (US)		
. This report consists of	of a total of 9 sheets.		
. This report contains	indications relating to the	following items:	
I X Basis	of the opinion		
II Non-e	establishment of opinion with re	egard to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability
III Lack	of unity of invention		
IV X Reaso	oned statement with regard to ne	ovelty, inventive step or ind	ustrial applicability;
	ons and explanations supportin	g such statement	
V Certai	in documents cited		IPOS 2NOV'07 10=34
VI Certai	n defects in the application		TL03 SUOA 01 T0-34
VII X Certai	n observations on the applicati	on	
. This report is based u	pon the assumption that t	he priority claim is vali	d
. The search report wa	s issued by the European 3 <sup>rd</sup> of June 2005.	Patent Office, and the	

Date of submission of the request to the Danish Patent and Trademark Office:	Date of Examination Report:  8 <sup>th</sup> of October 2007
Name and mailing address	Authorized Officer
Danish Patent and Trademark Office Helgeshøj Allé 81 2630 Taastrup Denmark Tel. No.: +45 4350 8000 Fax No.: +45 4350 8001	Lis Heide

	Application No.
DANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	200501617-5
SINGAPORE EXAMINATION REPORT	

I.	Basis of the report	
1.	This report has been drawn on the basis of:	
	The application as originally filed.	
	F	pages, 1-17 as originally filed, pages,
	r	pages,
	. s	sheets/fig,
	ŗ	the description:  bages,
	Applicants reply dated	
2.	The amendments have resulted in the	ne cancellation of: pages:
		sheets of drawings/figures no.
3.	This report has been es since they have been c in the supplemental box	tablished as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, onsidered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated x.
4.	Additional observations, if necessar	ry:
		•

	Application No.
DANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	200501617-5
SINGAPORE EXAMINATION REPORT	

II.	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The quest	tions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step, or to rially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:
	the entire application,
	claims no.'s:
because	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims no.'s are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims no.'s are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed:
	no search report has been established for said claim no.'s

	Application No.
DANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	200501617-5
SINGAPORE EXAMINATION REPORT	

H	Lack of unity of invention
1.	There are multiple inventions in this application, as follows:
2.	Consequently, the following parts of the application were the subject of examination in establishing this report:
	all parts
	the parts relating to claims no.'s

	Application No.
DANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	200501617-5
SINGAPORE EXAMINATION REPORT	

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial IV. applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement. 1. Statement:

YES

NO claims

YES Claims 1-32 Inventive step

> NO claims

Claims 1-32

YES Claims 1-32 Industrial applicability

> NO claims

2. Citations and explanations:

Novelty

D1: US A 6077979 (MCDANIEL ET AL) 20 June 2000

Novelty

US 6077978 (DI) discloses a process for the polyoxyalkylation of a starter in the presence of a double metal cyanide (DMC) catalyst by introducing alkylene oxide in a oxyalkylation reactor in the presence of DMC, wherein the starter has been acidified prior to introducing the starter in the reactor. The acid is used in an amount of from about 1 ppm to about 100 ppm (according to claim 3) and is chosen among an inorganic protic mineral acid and an organic acid (see claim 1). The starter can be glycerine (claim 2).

Other starters can be diglycerol and polyglycerol, according to the description column 5, line 30. Different usable acids (the same or similar to the ones mentioned in your claim 5-7) are mentioned in column 6, lines 2-23.

The reaction can be carried out continuously, according to column 3, lines 39-51. The DMC catalyst used in the examples is zinc hexacyanocobaltate complex DMC catalyst.

The alkylene oxide used is propylene oxide, see examples and claims.

A polyether polyol can be produced according to column 7, line 7-10. The polyoxypropylene polyol may be used in the production of polyurethane foams according to the description column 8, lines 7-9.

Accordingly, the content of present claims 1-32 is considered novel, since in the present set of claims, claims 1, 19 and 31 are directed to "in excess of 100 ppm" of the acid.

## Inventive step:

An inventive is recognised for the content of claims 1-32 since it has been shown in the examples that an improved effect is achieved when using acid in an amount in excess of 100 ppm of the acid.

## **Industrial Applicability:**

The content of claims 1-32 is considered applicable for industrial use.

		Application No.
	DANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	200501617-5
	SINGAPORE EXAMINATION REPORT	
ı		

1. Certain published documents:  Category Application no. publication date Filing date Priority date (valid claim) Patent no. (day/month/year) (day/month/year)  (day/month/year)	
	-
Patent no. (day/month/year) (day/month/year) (day/month/year)	
2. Non-written disclosures	
Kind of non-written disclosure  Date of non-written disclosure  (day/month/year)  Date of written disclosure non-written disclosure  (day/month/year)  (day/month/year)	ţ to

	Application No.
DANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	200501617-5
SINGAPORE EXAMINATION REPORT	

VI. Cer	tain defects in the application			
The following defects in the form or contents of the application have been noted:				
	•			
·				

	Application No.
DANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	200501617-5
SINGAPORE EXAMINATION REPORT	

VII. Certain observations on the application				
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:				
in claims 1, 8 and 26 it should be clarified that by "in excess of 100 ppm" is actually meant "in an amount in excess of 100 ppm" according to the description page 7, line 24, so "in an amount" must be added in the claims.				
The description should be changed in accordance with the amended claims.				
During the revision, especially of the introductory portion in the description and any statements of problem or advantage, care should be taken not to add subject matter, which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed.				
The applicant is also requested to clearly identify the amendments carried out, irrespective of whether they concern amendments by addition, replacement or deletion, and to indicate the passages of the application as filed on which these amendments are based. These indications could be submitted in handwritten form on a copy of the relevant parts of the application as filed.				
The claimed invention is patentable according to section 13(2) of SG Patents Act.				
The claimed invention is unpatentable according to Section 13(2) of SG Patents Act, because:				
In case of divisional application filed under section 20(3) or 47(4) or as mentioned in section 26(6) with a date of filing on or after 1. July 2004:				
The application complies with section 84(1) of SG Patents Act.				
The application does not comply with section 84(1) of SG Patents Act, and comments on the additional matter are in the supplemental box.				

	Application No.
DANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	200501617-5
SINGAPORE EXAMINATION REPORT	

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in	n any of Boxes I to VII is not sufficient)	
Continuation of Box [No.]:		